



# TRAFFIG / GOST / EGONOMIG IMPAGT ANALYSIS

Volumes Revond Limits of Alternativ

South

28,000

54.000

44.000

48.000

52.000

52,000

50.000 - 52.000

50,000

North

60.000

56.000

54.000

54.000

52.000 - 54.000

50,000 - 52,000



based on cost.

### 113 US 113 North / South Study


### **Traffic Model Development**

- Trying to predict the future is a risky proposition for anyone, but DelDOT's planners have to do just that to assess when and what type of improvements will be required to address the US 113 corridor's future transportation needs. Fortunately, with the aid of computer modeling, the job becomes a little easier and more
- DelDOT's planners have developed a new model that will allow them to predict the travel patterns that occur throughout the year and during the peak summer travel season. It's called the Peninsula Model because it covers the entire state of Delaware and Maryland's eastern shore, two thirds of the Delmarya Peninsula. The model has several key components:

#### Transportation Network and Analysis Zones







conditions and adjusted until it reasonably predicted current traffic patterns, present before you can reasonably predict the future." Now that the model is

## **Preliminary Traffic Projections**

- One of the first uses of the Peninsula Model has been to estimate how much traffic will use US 113 in the year 2030. DelDOT has looked at two situations:
- ▶ What will happen if no improvements are made in the US 113 corridor? (This is known as the "no-build" condition)
- What will happen if improvements are made, either along existing US 113 (the "on-alignment" alternatives) or in the form of a bypass?
- The traffic projections presented below are preliminary. This means that they can be used to make comparisons among off-alignment alternatives, determining which best meet anticipated traffic needs. and to determine approximate benefits along existing US 113. They are not yet sufficient to compare off-alignment to on-alignment rnatives or to determine specific interchange or intersection configurations.

US 113 Volumes

and US 9)

46.000

66.000

14.000

8.000

4.000

6.000

en SR 404

N/A

N/A

34.000 - 42.000

38.000 - 54.000

42,000 - 58,000

28.000 - 54.000

• More detailed forecasts will be developed as the project progresses to allow us to perform more detailed analyses.

No Build

D1. E1

D2. D5. F2. F5

D3. D4. E3. E4

A (on-alignment)

Western Bypass Alternatives

#### **Conclusions**

- If we do nothing, traffic along US 113 will increase by about 75 percent over the next 25 years.
- The on-alignment alternative (Alternative A) carries more traffic than no-build. This is because a limited-access US 113 will be more attractive to through traffic, diverting
- All of the bypass alternatives in the Georgetown Area will result in substantial reductions in traffic along US 113.
- Georgetown as well.
- existing US 113, resulting in substantially less traffic on US 113 than exists today.
- The western bypass alternatives (D. E. and F) generally divert even more (between 80 and 90 percent) of the traffic from existing US 113, resulting in only a fraction of

#### **Cost Estimates**

- In the early stages of a study like this one, we use what is called a "major quantity approach" to estimate costs. Rather than calculating the amount and cost of every element of the new roadway, we use only items that typically make up the bulk of the cost of any highway project.
  - Grading/earthwork
  - Paving
  - Bridges and culverts
- Percentages are then used to account for other construction items, such as:
  - Drainage
  - Litilities
- Major non-construction-related costs, including right of way, planning/design, construction inspection, and environmental considerations, are also added into the mix.
- When these estimates are complete, they will be compared to the actual per-mile cost of SR 1 between Dover and I-95, escalated to 2005 dollars.

## **Economic Impact Analysis**

- Every alternative under consideration will have an impact, whether positive or negative, on the economy of the area. As stakeholders (working groups, agencies, and the public), you need an understanding of those economic impacts to make informed decisions. At this early stage in the process, no alternative is being considered for elimination based on economic impact.
- As DelDOT undertakes detailed study of the shortlisted alternatives, an economic impact analysis will be conducted in two major steps.

#### Step 1. Information Gathering

- ▶ The Project Team will gather publicly-available information about the corridor's economy and about Sussex County as a whole.
- In cooperation with local Chambers of Commerce, a business survey will be distributed throughout the project area. This will give business owners an opportunity to voice their opinions about the highway and its importance to their operations.

- ► The assessment of economic impacts will include the following types of information:
- Number and types of businesses directly impacted, typically by the on-alignment alternatives Vacant/under-used parcels that will have enhanced accessibility as the result of a new or
- Locations that will have improved travel times to customer/supplier markets as the result of a new or improved US 113
- Increases in pass-through traffic along specific links in the corridor that would support a mix
- > Potential for development in the vicinity of a new or improved highway corridor

## some through traffic from other routes such as SR 1 and US 13.

- All of the bypass alternatives EXCEPT D1 and E1 will improve east-west traffic through
- Fastern bypass alternatives R and C divert about three-quarters of the traffic from
- today's traffic on US 113.

Additional traffic due to diversion from parallel route

Improves east-west traffic in Georgetown: increases

Does not improve east-west traffic in Georgetown

Improves east-west traffic in Georgetown